IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4840 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 628 OF 2005

1. Natrajan Muttu Nadar
2. Kumar Palvarnaswami Nadar ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respnodents

...

Mr. M.A.H.Solkar i/b Mrs.Sudha Dwivedi Advocate for Applicants
Mr. V.B.Konde-Deshmukh A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATE : AUGUST 5,2005

P.C.

1. Heard both sides.

2. The applicants are seeking bail. The applicants have been convicted mainly under Section 325 of IPC and the maximum sentence imposed on the applicants is three years.

3. The learned advocate for the applicants has pointed out that the applicants were on bail pending trial and they have not misused the liberty granted to them. This statement is not controverted by the prosecution. The learned advocate for the applicants has further submitted that sentence imposed on the applicants is only three years and the appeal preferred by the applicants is not likely to be heard and disposed of within the period of three years. In view of the facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to grant bail.

3. The applicant no.1 Natrajan Muttu Nadar and applicant no.2 Kumar Palvarnaswami Nadar to be released on bail in the sum of Rs.20,000/- each with one or two sureties to make up that amount. During the pendency of the appeal, the applicants shall report to Dharavi Police Station on second and fourth Sundays of every month.

4. Application is disposed of.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]